



Sahtu Land Use Planning Board

Box 235, Fort Good Hope, NT, X0E 0H0

Phone: (867) 598-2055 Fax: (867) 598-2545

Email: slupb@netkaster.ca Website: www.sahtulanduseplan.org

Technical Workshop #2 Agenda

Date: Nov 1 - 3, 2011 (9 am – 5 pm on Nov 1st and 2nd, 9 am – 12 pm on Nov 3rd)

Where: Dennis Drolet Memorial Hall, Norman Wells

DAY 1 – November 1st

9:00 – 9:40 am **INTRODUCTIONS, OPENING COMMENTS & WORKSHOP CONTEXT**

- Introductions
- Opening Comments
- Review of Agenda
- Summary of Workshop 1 Results & Working Assumptions for Workshop 2

9:40 – 12:00 pm **CONFORMITY REQUIREMENTS**

- General CR Revisions
- CRs #1 - 4

12:00 – 1:00 PM *Lunch (provided)*

1:00 – 5:00 pm - CRs #4 - 5

DAY 2 – November 2nd

9:00 – 12:00 pm **CONFORMITY REQUIREMENTS (CONTINUED)**

- CRs #6 - 12

12:00 – 1:00 pm *Lunch (provided)*

1:00 – 1:45 pm - CRs #13 - 19

1:45 – 5:00 pm **ACTIONS**

- Definition/Status
 - A #1-7
-

DAY 3 – November 3rd

9:00 – 11:00 am **RECOMMENDATIONS**

11:00 – 12:00 pm **CONCLUSIONS AND PREPARATION FOR WORKSHOP 3**

- Closing comments from SLUPB & participants
 - Discuss date, location and content for Workshop 3
-

* Breaks will be taken morning and afternoon as required

Proposed Time Allocations

Discussion Item	Questions	Discussion Document Pg #	Time Allocation (Approx)
Conformity Requirements			
General CR Revisions	Discuss new structure of CRs as proposed at Hearing.	15	20 min
CR #1 - Zoning	Should the Final Draft show the current land withdrawal boundary or the proposed boundary under consideration? (CWS/INAC/GNWT)	15	10 min
CR #2 - Protection of Special Values	Do revisions address the confusion with preliminary screening terminology? Does this CR do enough to protect values in SMZs?	16	15 min
CR #3 - Project Specific Monitoring	Do revisions address the comments adequately? Is there still a need to include "where confidentiality issues do not prevent such distribution" in Clause 2?	18	15 min
CR #4 - Community Engagment and Traditional Knowledge	What is the overall goal for this CR? To what extent should the Plan try to describe effective community engagement as outlined by communities?	19-24	3 hrs
	What is the rule (goes in the Plan) and what is helpful interpretation (more suitable for the Implementation Guide)?		
	To what extent can we incorporate elements of "community control" into this CR (e.g. giving strong consideration to community input)?		
	Should there be a minimum threshold of activity/authorization below which this CR should not apply and what should that threshold be?		
	Is it appropriate to include the community engagement process established under the Prospecting Permit Protocol in this CR or as a separate Action?		
	What is appropriate in setting requirements to consult directly affected community members (e.g. where an activity will impact somoene's trapline or a family's hunting camp). The community can identify directly affected individuals.		
	Is it appropriate to provide guidance on who to gather TK from in the CR? Is this suitable guidance for the Implementation Guide?		
	Discuss proposals to integrate Recommendation #3 into the CR directly, and Recommendations #5 into the Implementation Guide.		
CR #5 - Community Benefits	What is the goal of this CR? What should it accomplish? Option 1: A public interest test (original intent); 2) An assessment or consideration of benefits (weaker and provides no actual requirement for anyone to benefit).	25-27	2 hrs

Proposed Time Allocations

Discussion Item	Questions	Discussion Document Pg #	Time Allocation (Approx)
	Should there be a minimum threshold of activity/authorization below which this CR should not apply and what should that threshold be?		
CR #6 - Community Land Use Monitors (Formerly R #2 - Wildlife Monitors)	Discuss change from Recommendation to a CR	27-29	45 min
	Discuss funding/hiring responsibilities and whether this should be locked into the CR (or R if not acceptable as a CR).		
	Should there be a minimum threshold of activity/authorization below which this CR should not apply and what should that threshold be?		
	Is there an alternative? E.g. a full time monitor for the region or each community that each project contributes to, rather than hiring an independent monitori adhoc for each project.		
CR #7 - Arch Sites, Historical Sites & Burial Sites	Discussion on revised split buffer	29-30	30 min
	Have we provided an acceptable balance between community control and flexibility?		
	Should there be a minimum threshold of activity/authorization before requiring the archaeological assessment and what should that threshold be?		
CR #8 - Watershed Management	Discussion on new focus for the CR - is it acceptable? If not, then what are the alternatives?	31-33	45 min
	What is the acceptable solution between the GNWT requirement that we not change anything from what is in the land claim and addressing community interests that all water be protected?		
CR #9 - Drinking Water	When will the new mapping be ready (GNWT)? Check that there are no further outstanding issues.	33-36	15 min
CR #10 - Wildlife	Have we added sufficient flexibility for the setbacks?	36-38	15 min
CR #11 - Species Introductions	No change proposed - no discussion needed.	39	0
CR #12 - Sensitive Species and Features	Do the changes to the Karst and mineral lick sections adequately address the issues?	40-42	15 min
	Should there be a minimum threshold for conducting a rare plant survey?		

Proposed Time Allocations

Discussion Item	Questions	Discussion Document Pg #	Time Allocation (Approx)
CR #13 - Closure and Reclamation	Should a clause be added to the CR regarding progressive reclamation? What should it say?	42-45	20 min
	Need confirmation (INAC) that the "Sahtu privately-owned lands" clause needs to be there.		
	Should there be a minimum threshold of activity/authorization below which this CR should not apply and what should that threshold be?		
CR #14 - Climate Change	Should there be a minimum threshold of activity/authorization below which this CR should not apply and what should that threshold be?	45-47	10 min
CR #15 - Ecological and Cultural Integrity	No change proposed - no discussion needed.	47-48	0
CR #16 - Fish Farming and Aquaculture	No change proposed - no discussion needed.	48	0
CR #17 - Disturbance of Lakebed	Check changes with Deline and Parks Canada re exempting management activities associated with Saoyú-?ehdacho	49	5 min
CR #18 - Uses of Du K'ets'Edi (Sentinel Islands)	No change proposed - no discussion needed.	48	0
CR #19 - Water Withdrawal	Final comments on proposed change.	50-51	10 min
New CRs	CR #6 is the only new CR added - discussed above. No other changes. No discussion required.	51-52	0
Actions			
Definition/Status	Discuss proposed language and status	53	30 min
	Discuss approach for prioritizing Actions or assigning appropriate time frames (maybe revisit at end of Actions discussion?)		
A #1 - Plan Implementation Monitoring	No changes proposed, no outstanding issues, no discussion	54	0
A #2 - Sahtu Working Group	Is there support for this overall approach?	54-62	1 1/2 hrs
	Do we have the right mix of participants?		
	Discuss changes to Community Engagement Guidelines Action		
	Best Practices - No change		

Proposed Time Allocations

Discussion Item	Questions	Discussion Document Pg #	Time Allocation (Approx)
	Discuss combining the Cumulative Effects Management Plan and Environmental Monitoring Plan		
	Discuss changes to Community-Governemnt Monitoring and Enforcement Strategy, including moving it under the Sahtu Working Group		
	Discuss moving this under the Sahtu Working Group - no other changes		
	Discuss proposed timelines and priorities		
A #3 - Inspection and Enforcement Priorities	Do the proposed revisions address the issues raised?	63	10 min
A #4 - Access to Wildlife Information	Does the revised wording address confidentiality and scope of work issues?	63-65	20 min
	Are we missing any organizations that have wildlife information?		
A #5 - Fish Habitat and Water Withdrawals	Do the proposed revisions address the issue raised? (DFO)	65-66	10 min
A #6 - Air Quality	What is EC's role in air quality monitoring? Should they be added to this Action?	66	10 min
A #7 - Emergencies Activity Reporting	Do the revisions address the issue raised? (INAC)	66-67	10 min
New Actions	No discussion	67	0
Recommendations			
R #1 - Air Quality	No change proposed, no discussion.	68	0
R #2 - Community Research Liaison	Minor changes, check that there are no outstanding issues.	68	10 min
R #3 - Communications and Coordination	Minor changes, check that there are no outstanding issues.	69	10 min
R #4 - Inspection and Enforcement Funding	No change proposed, is this a significant request (INAC)?	69-70	10 min
R #5 - Economic Development Strategy	No change proposed, no discussion	70	0
R #6 - Building Capacity	No change proposed, no discussion	70-71	0

Proposed Time Allocations

Discussion Item	Questions	Discussion Document Pg #	Time Allocation (Approx)
R #7 - Community Participation Funding	No change proposed, is this a significant request (INAC)?	71	10 min
R #8 - Incidental Harvest	Do the proposed revisions, including downgrading it from a CR to a Recommendation, address the issues?	71-73	15 min
R #9 - Granular Resource Allocation Plan	No change proposed, no discussion	74	0
R #10 - Non-Renewable Resource Assessments	Should something be added to cover renewable resource assessments? Who would be the responsible party for that?	74-75	15 min
R #11 - Fish Habitat TK	New Recommendation, downgraded from CR - Discuss with DFO	75	10 min
R #12 - Mapping the Underground River	No change proposed, no discussion	75	0
R #13 - Contaminated Sites	No change proposed, no discussion	75-76	0
R #14 - Strengthening Culture and Education	No change proposed, no discussion	76	0
R #15 - Fisheries Management	No change proposed, no discussion	77	0
R #16 - Transboundary Cooperation	No change proposed, no discussion	77	0
Deleted Recommendations	Go through each in case any of the proposed revisions for which they are being deleted were not acceptable - how should they be dealt with?	78-80	30 min
New Recommendations	Brief Discussion	80	10 min